

COURT-II
IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APPEAL NO. 132 OF 2018

Dated: 10th January, 2019

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.K. Patil, Judicial Member
Hon'ble Mr. Ravindra Kumar Verma, Technical Member

In the matter of:

Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd.

.... Appellant(s)

Versus

Central Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors.

.... Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Deep Rao
Mr. Divyanshu Bhatt
for Mr. Sitesh Mukherjee

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Alok Shankar for R-2

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan
Mr. Siddhant Kohli
Mr. Mayank Kshirsgar for R-3 & R-7

Ms. Swapna Seshadri
Ms. Parichita Chowdhury for R-15

ORDER

The learned counsel, Mr. Siddhant Kohli, appearing for the Respondent Nos.3 & 7 and the learned counsel, Mr. Alok Shankar, appearing for the second Respondent, at the outset, submitted that, in the Order dated 04.12.2018 on IA No. 1662 of 2018 of this Tribunal, there is an error at paras 2 & 4 wherein it was wrongly mentioned as Respondent No.2/second Respondent instead of third Respondent. Therefore, they submitted that, the typographical error, as stated supra, may kindly be rectified and the word "Respondent No.2/second Respondent" at paras 2 & 4 of the Order dated 04.12.2018 should be as "third Respondent"

The learned counsel for the second Respondent prays for one week time to enable him to file reply. The learned counsel, Mr. Divyanshu Bhatt, appearing for the Appellant also prays for four weeks thereafter to file his consolidated rejoinder to the reply filed by the learned counsel for the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 & 7.

Submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 & 7 and the Appellant, as stated supra, are placed on record.

In the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 & 7, as stated above, **we hereby clarify that the word “Respondent No.2/second Respondent” mentioned in the Order dated 04.12.2018 on IA No. 1662 of 2018 at paras 2 & 4 should be read as “Respondent No.3/third Respondent” respectively.**

Registry is directed to carry out the necessary corrections immediately.

The learned counsel for the second Respondent is permitted to file reply in this matter on or before 17.01.2019, after duly serving copy to the learned counsel for the Appellant. Thereafter, the learned counsel for the Appellant is permitted to file joint/consolidated rejoinder to the reply filed by the learned counsel for the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 & 7 on or before 14.02.2019, after duly serving copy to the learned counsel for the Respondent Nos. 2, 3 to 7 and 15.

List this matter on **26.02.2019**, as requested by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and the Respondents.

(Ravindra Kumar Verma)
Technical Member

vt/kt

(Justice N.K. Patil)
Judicial Member